



DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY  
BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS  
2 NAVY ANNEX  
WASHINGTON DC 20370-5100

SMC  
Docket No: 04067-99  
25 February 2000

SSGT [REDACTED] USMC  
[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]

Dear Staff Sergeant [REDACTED]

This is in reference to your application for correction of your naval record pursuant to the provisions of title 10 of the United States Code, section 1552.

A three-member panel of the Board for Correction of Naval Records, sitting in executive session, considered your application on 25 February 2000. Your allegations of error and injustice were reviewed in accordance with administrative regulations and procedures applicable to the proceedings of this Board. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of your application, together with all material submitted in support thereof, your naval record and applicable statutes, regulations and policies. In addition, the Board considered the advisory opinion furnished by Headquarters Marine Corps, dated 19 November 1999, a copy of which is attached.

After careful and conscientious consideration of the entire record, the Board found that the evidence submitted was insufficient to establish the existence of probable material error or injustice. In this connection, the Board substantially concurred with the comments contained in the advisory opinion. In view of the above, your application has been denied. The names and votes of the members of the panel will be furnished upon request.

It is regretted that the circumstances of your case are such that favorable action cannot be taken. You are entitled to have the Board reconsider its decision upon submission of new and material evidence or other matter not previously considered by the Board. In this regard, it is important to keep in mind that a presumption of regularity attaches to all official

records. Consequently, when applying for a correction of an official naval record, the burden is on the applicant to demonstrate the existence of probable material error or injustice.

Sincerely,

W. DEAN PFEIFFER  
Executive Director

Enclosure



DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY  
HEADQUARTERS UNITED STATES MARINE CORPS  
3280 RUSSELL ROAD  
QUANTICO, VIRGINIA 22134-5103

4067-99

IN REPLY REFER TO:

1000

MMEA-85

10 JUL 1994

MEMORANDUM FOR THE EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR, BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF  
NAVAL RECORDS

Subj: BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS (BCNR) APPLICATION  
IN THE CASE OF STAFF SERGEANT [REDACTED]  
[REDACTED] 4 USMC

1. On 15 July 1994, Marine Security Guard (MSG) Battalion submitted a Good of the Service (GOS) relief request to this HQ (MMEA-85) due to then [REDACTED] being pregnant. Cpl [REDACTED] was approved for a GOS relief on 26 July 1994 and issued orders back to her Primary Military Occupational Skill (PMOS) via Naval message. Upon approval of the GOS relief [REDACTED] a Draw Case Code (DCC) of AM was entered via unit diary to document this relief. [REDACTED] completed twenty-six (26) months of a thirty-two (32) month tour.

2. All Marines relieved from MSG duty receive this DCC, regardless of type of relief, that do not complete the required length of tour.

3. The DCC is not always a derogatory entry, although often interpreted as such. It is an administrative tool used to flag an individual Marines record. The justification supporting the relief will dictate the nature of the DCC. When a Marine submits for reenlistment, determination for further service is based on the total record of service. Non-completion of a special duty is part of the Marines record. A DCC for good of the service relief has no negative connotation.

4. Additionally, the DCC entered on [REDACTED] will automatically drop from the system in July of 2000.

5. Point of contact is [REDACTED] (MMEA-85 [REDACTED])

[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]  
ASSISTANT HEAD, ENLISTED ASSIGNMENT BRANCH